EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 77 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 4 STEVEN G. MILLETT, 5 MELODY, J. MILLETT, On Behalf of themselves 6 7 And all others similarly situated, 8 Plaintiffs, 9 vs. No. 05-599-SLR 10 TRUELINK, INC., 11 A Trans Union Company, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 VOLUME I 16 17 DEPOSITION OF MELODY J. MILLETT, a 18 Plaintiff, taken on behalf of the Defendant before Nissa M. Sharp, CSR, CCR #528, pursuant 19 20 to Notice on the 3rd of May, 2007, at the offices of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook Place, 21

11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas.

24

25

22





7	בד	D.	ים	ΛΙ	ס ס	M	\sim	T	C
- 4	· H	Ρ.	н /	<i>ι</i> ν ι	< ₽	W	ι.	н:	٠.

Appearing for the Plaintiffs was MS. B.

JOYCE YEAGER of YEAGER LAW FIRM, LLC, City

Center Square, 26th Floor, 1100 Main Street,

Kansas City, Missouri 64105.

Also appearing for the Plaintiffs was MR. BRYSON R. CLOON of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook Place, 11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas 66211.

Appearing for the Defendant were MR. MICHAEL O'NEIL and MS. HEATHER SCHUMAN of DLA PIPER US, LLP, 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900, Chicago, Illinois 60601-1293.

Also present was Leda Gipson of MCR VIDEO.

INDEX

WITNESS: PAGE:

MELODY J. MILLETT

19 Examination by Mr. O'Neil 4



conduct an identity theft investigation.

- Q. And who did you retain at that point?
- A. That was when we retained Adler in 2003, and that wasn't until March I believe.
- Q. And how much did you pay Mr. Adler for his services?
 - A. I believe it was approximately \$2,000.
 - Q. And what did Mr. Adler do for you?
- A. Mr. Adler was specifically retained to write letters to the credit bureaus, Bank of America and Ford Motor Credit so that they would appear to come from the law offices of. Because I was not getting any response out of any of the three credit bureaus, Ford Motor or Bank of America, on our identity theft issues. And I felt that at this point in time I needed to start documenting the fact that no one would help me and no one work on any of our issues.
- Q. Did Mr. Adler ever correspond with the defendants that you had later sued?
- A. He corresponded with all of the defendants that we later sued, with the exception of I believe Fair Isaac, which was the credit scoring piece. And I believe that Adler did not correspond directly with TrueLink. I

think he was talking or communicating with								
TransUnion and Experian and Equifax. And the	e							
same thing would hold true with like Equifax								
with EIS versus ECS, or Experian which is no	W							
consumerinfo.com.								
Q. Uh-huh. Were you satisfied with								
Mrs. 7 47 1								

- Mr. Adler's services?
 - Well, at the time, yes, I sure was. A.
 - Are you satisfied today? ο.
- I'm satisfied with the services that he has provided, the services that he was contracted to provide.
- At some point, did you decide that you Q. were going to start suing companies?
 - Α. I'm sorry?

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, did there come a point in time 0. when you decided that you were going to start suing companies?
 - A. Yeah, that would be late 2003.
- Okay. And why did you decide to sue companies at that point?
- Α. Because we had no other choice. was no way we were going to get our lives back unless we started suing people because nobody was listening.



When you write repeated letters and you make repeated phone calls, and then your lawyer makes repeated letters -- writes repeated letters, makes repeated phone calls, and then a second sets of lawyers starts making and writing letters and making repeated phone calls and nobody wants to fix your problem and your life is being adversely impacted, you get to the point where you are backed in the corner and you are left with no alternative but to avail yourself of the system of government we have here in the United States.

Q. So, in late 2003, what was your

- Q. So, in late 2003, what was your complaint with TransUnion that lead you to sue them?
- A. Well, because at that point in time I believed that the product that we had purchased was being produced by TransUnion.
 - Q. And what product is that, ma'am?
 - A. That would be the True Credit product.
- Q. Well, is that the credit monitoring product?
- A. Yes, True Credit is the credit monitoring product brought to you by TransUnion.
 - Q. Did you have complaints about any other



under California statute or Delaware statute or Kansas statute, have you?

- A. No, we don't have that kind of conversation. But I'm sure my lawyer has called me up and said these are your available options, you know, we would recommend that you pursue this option. So, then I either agree or I don't agree or we agree or we don't agree and then we go forward.
- Q. Are you aware that there is a provision in the contract between TrueLink and your husband that says what law governs any claims that might arise from that contract?
 - A. Yes, I am aware of that now, yeah.
- Q. Okay. When did you first become aware of that?
- A. I think it was after that -- we were putting a lawsuit together that that, you know, it's in the fine print. I mean, that agreement is how many paragraphs long, I think, you know, 20, 30, I don't know.
 - Q. Did you ever read that agreement?
 - A. Yeah, I skimmed it.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I mean, do you read every single



agreement	that	you	get	for	ever	cy s	ingl	e piece	of
					-				
software	you e	ver	insta	all	from	top	to	bottom?	

- Q. I don't generally answer questions in a deposition, but I'll tell you no. I don't. But you know what, if I'm going to sue somebody on a class-wide basis for it, yeah, I'm going to read it.
- MS. YEAGER: I'm going to object to the --
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Are you seeking any money for you and your husband as part of this settlement?
- A. Well, I believe there would be statutory relief under the Kansas Consumer Protect Act, and I believe there would be the matter of the contract breach and the fees paid on behalf for the product that is the subject of the breach.
- Q. So, you want the money back that you paid for the products that you're not satisfied with; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you want all the money back?
- A. Well, yeah. For the class, yes, of course.



1.9

that that information was still not presenting
in the product. The fact that we had had false
alert triggers on and off throughout 2005, I
believe was the year that those were occurring
in. That it serves no purpose, so I just
discontinued it.

- Q. Prior to November of 2006, you discontinued it?
- A. No. I didn't renew -- the last charge was in November of 2006, and I've not placed a new credit card in there.
- Q. Was November 2006 when you came to the conclusion that there was no purpose for purchasing the credit monitoring service?
- A. No. It was when I made the conscious decision to go in there and end it. TrueLink's monitoring service is a negative opt-in. You must specifically opt out or the subscription continues automatically through no interference or whatever of your own.
 - Q. Did you ever cancel it affirmatively?
 - A. What do you mean affirmatively?
- Q. Meaning what you just said, that you called TrueLink and said cancel it?
 - A. I already answered that, and I said no.



I allo	wed t	he	subs	scriptio	on to	lapse :	by not	;
giving	them	a	new	credit	card	number	with	the
correc	t exp	ira	atior	date.			•	

- Q. Because you told the "New York Times" reporter that there was some value to credit monitoring, right?
- A. I told the "New York Times" reporter that it was the best tool available, but it was not as advertised.
- Q. Right. And that you had continued to purchase the product, right?
- A. Well, you still have to be able to look at your credit report, sir.
- Q. Okay. So, when you had the conversation with the reporter for the "New York Times", you still thought that there was value in the credit monitoring service, right?
- A. Not the monitoring service. There is value in having access to your credit report on an ongoing basis, especially when you already know you're a victim of identity theft.

 However, it is not complete identity theft protection as is advertised.
 - O. Is that what TrueLink advertises?
 - A. I believe that's what was on their



EXHIBIT A PAGES 90-91 REDACTED

1	Q. You didn't send it, right?
2	A. No, I did not.
3	Q. Okay. And you never called TrueLink
4	and said I was expecting to see accounts
5	relating to Mr. Perez on my husband's credit
6	file and I didn't see it? You never made that
7	call to TrueLink, did you?
8	A. No, I didn't.
9	Q. Did you strike that.
10	I'm going to show you an exhibit,
11	Mrs. Millett.
12	(M. Millett Exhibit 9 was marked
13	for identification by the reporter.)
14	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Mrs. Millett, I'm
15	showing you what's been marked Exhibit No. 9,
16	which I which I'll represent to you is the
17	complaint that was filed in the district in
18	the federal court in the District of Kansas on
19	behalf of you and your husband suing the seven
20	companies that you identified previously.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And you saw this before it was filed,
23	right?
24	A. Oh, yes.



And you made sure that it was accurate,

EXHIBIT A PAGES 121-122 REDACTED

- Q. Oh, okay.
- A. The information was somewhat helpful, but, you know, I don't -- you're trying to characterize it as, you know, TransUnion is being altruistically helpful, and they're just giving this information to me of their own free will, and I don't see it that way.
- Q. I don't think that's what I asked you, but. Let me make sure I understand. The information was helpful in investigating Mr. Perez's misuse of your Social Security number; isn't that correct?
- A. Yes, the information was somewhat helpful, yes.
- Q. And TransUnion didn't charge you anything for that information; isn't that correct?
- A. TransUnion didn't charge me anything for the information?
 - Q. Right.
- A. No, TransUnion did not charge me anything to send that letter, but it cost me money.
 - Q. The letter cost you money?



EXHIBIT A PAGE 124 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGES 144-147 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGES 149-150 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGE 154 REDACTED

for	any	deni	al	of	credit	that	you	or	your
hush	and	may	hav	re s	suffered	1?			

- A. Well, to the extent that I guess

 TrueLink is not a credit reporting agency, how

 could they be responsible for a denial of

 credit? I don't understand that.
 - Q. So the answer is no, right?
- A. Well, the answer is no, but I don't agree with that premise necessarily.
- Q. What premise? Was there a premise in my question?
- A. No. There's a premise in your contract. TrueLink asserts in its contract that it's not a credit reporting agency, and that their documents do not -- their reports do not have to be Fair Credit Reporting Act compliant because they're not a credit reporting agency.
- Q. Well, the issue, Mrs. Millett isn't whether or not they're a credit reporting agency, the issues is -- to your knowledge, TrueLink has never disclosed information regarding your husband to anybody other than you and your husband, right?
- A. Well, I don't know who TrueLink sells data to. So, I couldn't answer that question.



EXHIBIT A PAGE 157 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGES 173-175 REDACTED

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	A.	What	do	you	mea	n?	Ιı	mean,	if	r	had	the
	any	notes	that	: I l	have	, ha	ve	alrea	ady	be	een	
pro	oduce	ed.							. •			
	Q.	Well	, we	do	n't :	have	aı	ny	we	ha	aven'	t

- Q. Well, we don't have any -- we haven't seen any notes of any conversations you had with people from TrueLink. So, would that suggest to you that there are no such notes?
- A. That would be probably a fairly accurate assumption.
- Q. Okay. Why did you decide to buy products from TrueLink on behalf of your husband in August of 2003?
- A. Because at that time we were only monitoring one bureau.
 - Q. And that was Equifax, right?
 - A. That was Equifax.
- Q. So you wanted to monitor Mr. Millett's file at TransUnion?
 - A. Yes, and Experian.
- Q. Okay. And what were you, specifically, were you hoping to learn of as part of buying that service?
- A. Any future activity that would occur with Mr. Millett's Social Security number, or any of the fraudulent accounts, or any



more than just tell you how much you owed,
right? I mean, for example, the very first page
of Exhibit 15. That doesn't tell you how much
you're going to have to pay, does it?

- A. 10.95 per quarter.
- Q. Okay. Did you read the text on the first page of Exhibit 15 prior to deciding to purchase the product?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you read all of it?
- A. I read a lot of this, yes.
- Q. Directing your attention to the very first page, did you read all of the text on that first page before you decided to buy the product?
- A. Well, like I probably didn't read this little box down here where it says "example credit trending." I mean, you know, I read the basic text that's on the page.
- Q. Well, on the right-hand side of the page, it tells you what you're going to get as part of the product, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you read that part?
 - A. Oh, yeah.



233
Q. Oh okay. And then on the far right of
each of those four categories, it says, says
"learn M" but I'll represent to you it says
"learn more." Okay?
A. It probably was cut off because this is
one of those elongated pages that
Q. Sure.
A didn't want to print right.
Q. Do you recall, did you click on these
"learn more" links to learn more about the
characteristics of the product that you were
about to buy?
A. I probably read all of this and then
read clicked the "yes keep me informed"
button.
Q. So, then is it your testimony that you
did not click on the "learn more" links
associated with each of the four categories of
information?

- Α. No, that's not what I'm representing to you.
- Q. Okay. That's my question, that's why --
- I can't say that before I signed up for the product I clicked the "learn more" buttons,



20

21

22

23

24

25

because I may not have. But I may have done so at a later date.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And so I may have a copy in here of the "learn more" and I may have actually read those pages.
 - Q. All right.
- A. But I can't recall in what order I might have viewed that information, only that I've probably viewed every page that's out there on the TU site at some point in time or other now.
 - Q. The TU site?
 - A. The TU, TrueLink, whoever it is now.
- Q. Okay. Well, I think it's important that we understand what site we're talking about, wouldn't you agree?
- A. Well, as I sit here, it still says "TransUnion" at the top.
- Q. Okay. Let me go back to the question I asked some time ago.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you know if you ever clicked on the "learn more" hyperlinks which is reflected on the first page of Exhibit 15?



1	A. I couldn't answer that one way or the
2	other.
3	Q. Okay. Because you don't know, right?
4	A. I don't know for sure, no. I could
5	have and I also could not have.
6	Q. The top of the page says "Knowledge,
7	protection, convenience." Do you see that,
8	ma'am?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Says, "Knowledge, quarterly access to
11	your credit report with the analytical tools,"
12	right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Did you read that?
15	MS. YEAGER: I'm so to interrupt.
16	What page are we on?
17	MR. O'NEIL: First page.
18	THE WITNESS: We're on the first
19	page.
20	A. Yes, I read that.
21	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. And then going
22	down in the right-hand side, it describes your
23	weekly fraud watch e-mails. I mean, that was
24	the main thing that you were getting as part of
25	the credit monitoring service, right?

EXHIBIT A PAGES 243-245 REDACTED

1 the letter.

- Q. According to you, according to your testimony this morning, they only did it after your lawyer threatened litigation. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. So, you thought that even though you weren't threatening TrueLink with litigation on August 6, 2003, and everything that you knew -- and I'm not going to go through it now because our record's pretty clear about what you knew and what your dealings were with TransUnion prior to August 6, 2003, the record is what it is -- are you telling me that on August 6, 2003, you honestly believed that TrueLink was going to give you information that TransUnion wouldn't? Is that your testimony?
- A. It is my -- yes, it is my testimony.

 It's my testimony that they were going to give me information relating to my husband's Social Security number, yes, it is. Because they're advertising complete identity theft protection.
- Q. I'm going to answer -- ask the question again. Is it your testimony that on August 6, 2003, you thought you can get information from



TransUnion	through	TrueLink	that	TransUnion
itself woul	ldn't giv	ve you?	•	

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And the reason why you thought that, ma'am?
- A. Because their marketing material advertises complete identity theft protection, so I thought that they would be getting information relating to protecting someone from identity theft and the fraudulent use of Social Security number in the future from the data product purchase. That's what I thought, yes.
- Q. Even though it says the weekly e-mail alerts would only alert you to changes in Mr. Millett's report?

MS. YEAGER: Objection.

Foundation.

- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) You thought that even though you were expressly advised that the weekly fraud watch e-mails would only alert you to changes in Mr. Millett's report? You thought that?
- A. Well, we've already -- yes, I still thought that.



1	A. I thought this was it.
2	Q. Okay. That's your understanding?
3	A. I thought this was it.
4	Q. Okay. Do you recall having to click "I
5	agree" to a contract before you could get the
6	services from TrueLink? I'll tell you it's not
7	in there, so you can look all you want.
8	A. No, I'm looking for the part in the
9	paragraph where it says you have to click "I
10	agree".
11	Q. It's what I'm telling you, ma'am,
12	it's not in there. You can look all you want,
13	but it's not in there. That's why I asked you
14	the question, which is really separate and apart
15	from what you're looking at. As you sit here
16	today, do you recall reading the credit
17	monitoring membership agreement on the
18	TransUnion website when you were establishing
19	the account on behalf of your husband?
20	MS. YEAGER: Objection.
21	Foundation.
22	A. Well, I read parts of it, because there
23	is it is right there.
24	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. Do you remember

- of it, because there
- Do you remember this morning joking about how it's got 20



paragraphs and it's so long and who reads that stuff? Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. What's your recollection? Did you read the first sentence? Did you read none of it? Did you skim it? I think you said you skimmed it this morning?
 - A. Yep.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall when you skimmed the membership agreement in August of 2003, did it have any reference to the fraud resolution services?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You do recall that?
 - A. I do recall some of it.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And did it tell you that they'd be presented by Promise Mark?
- A. Well, the advertisement on the page represented that, so I don't know that I remember that the agreement specifically says that, but it is part of my recollection.
- Q. Were you acting as an agent for your husband when you clicked "I agree" to the credit



EXHIBIT A PAGE 259 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGE 261 REDACTED

EXHIBIT A PAGE 266 REDACTED

1	the best tool available at this time."
2	A. And what's the rest of sentence?
3	Q. "It is not ideal, it is broken and it
4	is not as advertised." Is that an accurate
5	statement?
6	A. That's the statement, yes.
7	Q. Okay. So, it's still valuable enough
8	for you to continue using it and continue buying
9	it; isn't that correct?
10	A. Well, I'm not buying it anymore, am I?
11	Q. Well, you did for years and years and
12	years after you claimed that it didn't work?
13	A. And I don't deny that.
14	Q. Okay. And the only reason why you're
15	not buying it today is because your credit card
16	changed and you didn't give the company a new
17	credit card?
18	MS. YEAGER: Objection.
19	Misstates
20	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Isn't that right?
21	MS. YEAGER: the testimony.
22	A. No. I just I elected not to go in
23	there and put in a new credit card when it
24	arrived. So, to that extent that's why it's no
25	longer going on.



	520
1	Q. Did your lawyers change the text of the
2	answers that you provided to them before they
3	finalized it and sent it to counsel for
4	TrueLink?
5	A. I believe they went back and forth
6	several times.
7	Q. Between you and the lawyers?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. Okay. So they made some changes and
10	you made some changes, is that how it worked?

- Q. Okay. Now, there's several places -well, let's just -- response to Interrogatory
 No. 6, and I apologize these pages are not
 numbered, but if you look at Interrogatory No.
 6.
 - A. Uh-huh.

Yeah.

Α.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The interrogatories there describe in detail all instances in which plaintiff has purchased credit report, etc. Do you see that, ma'am?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And then the answer -- and then there's a lot of objections, but the real answer comes in the next page. It says here, "We knew that



EXHIBIT A PAGE 329 REDACTED

IN	THE	UNIT	ED	STATES	DI	STRICT	COURT
	FOR	THE	DI	STRICT	OF	DELAWA	RE

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

1

2

STEVEN G. MILLETT,

5 MELODY J. MILLETT,

On Behalf of themselves

And all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 05-599-SLR

TRUELINK, INC.,

A Trans Union Company,

Defendant.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

VOLUME II

CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF MELODY J.

MILLETT, a Plaintiff, taken on behalf of the Defendant before Nissa M. Sharp, CSR, CCR #528, pursuant to Notice on the 13th of July, 2007, at the offices of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook

Place, 11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood,

COPY



Kansas.

APPEARANCES

Appearing for the Plaintiffs was MS. B.

JOYCE YEAGER of YEAGER LAW FIRM, LLC, City

Center Square, 26th Floor, 1100 Main Street,

Kansas City, Missouri 64105.

Also appearing for the Plaintiffs was MR. BRYSON R. CLOON of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook Place, 11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas 66211.

Appearing for the Defendant were MR. MICHAEL O'NEIL and MS. HEATHER SCHUMAN of DLA PIPER US, LLP, 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900, Chicago, Illinois 60601-1293.

Also present was Lisa Hargis of MCR VIDEO.

INDEX

WITNESS: PAGE:

MELODY J. MILLETT

19 Continued Examination

By Mr. O'Neil 351

Examination by Ms. Yeager 554

Examination by Mr. O'Neil 571

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

25

Metropolitan COURT REPORTERS

1	Q. And this is the report that you
2	referred to earlier and that was referenced in
3	Exhibit 25, right?
4	A. Right.
5	Q. Okay. And you've read this, haven't
6	you?
7	A. Yeah, uh-huh.
8	Q. And
9	A. I'm fairly familiar with this, yes.
10	Q. Okay, let me turn your attention to
11	Page 5.
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. And here the Identity Theft Resource
14	Center is identifying three main forms of
15	identity theft, right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you've seen this before, haven't
18	you?
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q. Okay. And your husband didn't suffer
21	any of these forms of identity theft, did he?
22	A. Actually, that would be the financial
23	identity theft paragraph where it says, "theft
24	involves impostor's use of personal identifying
25	information, primarily the Social Security

	_
1 1	l number.
<u>т</u>	number.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So, of the three forms of identity theft that the Identity Theft Resource Center has identified, you believe that Mr. Millett suffered the first form?
- A. He would be included in the first form, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's read the whole sentence that you quoted from. It says, "Financial identity theft involves the impostor's use of personal identifying information, primarily the Social Security number, to establish new credit lines in the name of the victim." Do you see that?
 - A. Uh-huh. Right.
- Q. I mean, Mr. Abundio, or whatever his name is, never established credit lines in the name Steven Millett, did he?
 - A. No, he did not.
 - Q. Okay. I think we --

MR. O'NEIL: How much time do we have left on the tape?

VIDEOGRAPHER: Four.

MR. O'NEIL: Four, okay, well,

let's keep going then.



l i	Q.	(BY	MR.	O'NEIL)	Let	me	direct	your
2	attention	to	Page	11, Mr	s. M	i ll:	ett.	

A. Yes.

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And there is a heading "Financial Identity Theft," which is the type of identity theft that you believe your husband suffered, right?
- A. Well, no, I don't believe my husband suffered. In 2003, Social Security number fraud and true name fraud were all aggregated under the same type of identity theft. The types of identity theft have been evolving since this original survey was done in 2003, so.
- Q. So, Social Security number identity theft did not exist in 2003?
- A. Well, it didn't exist as a separate category.
- Q. Oh. Has the Identity Theft Resource Center now issued something that says there's another type of identity theft called "Social Security number identity theft"?
- A. The people, the people who control the definitions of identity theft are the FTC, the Federal Trade Commission.
 - Q. Okay.



3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

Τ,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You testified earlier that you recall in August of 2003 you thought TrueLink was promising your husband complete identity theft approximately. Do you recall that testimony?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, in August of 2003, did you believe that TrueLink could prevent somebody from using your husband's Social Security number on an employment application?
- A. If true -- if TransUnion was contacted for the background check, then, yeah, that should be the case.
- Q. Okay. So, you recognize that it wouldn't provide complete identity theft protection under all circumstances?
- A. Well, only as it would relate to TransUnion's data.
- Q. So, if Mr. Abundio Perez used your husband's Social Security number on a job application, but the employer never sought data from TransUnion, that would still be identity theft, right?
- A. Oh, yes, it would still be identity theft.
 - Q. Okay. But you never thought that

TrueLink would prevent that type of identity theft, right?

A. I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You never thought that -- and, well, no, in August of 2003, you didn't think that that type of identity theft would be prevented by buying credit monitoring from True Credit, right?
- A. Only to the extent that the background check used for the employment was pulled from one of your subsidiaries, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Well, I'll go back to my original question because that was my hypothetical.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Mr. Perez uses your husband's Social
 Security number on an employment application but
 the employer never contacts TransUnion. You
 never thought that your husband would be
 protected by that type of identity theft by
 buying credit monitoring, right?
 - A. No, and no reasonable person would.
- Q. Because you have to read those types of things reasonably, right?
 - A. Yes.

1	Q.	I'm sorry?
2	A.	I said it'

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I said it's got the Experian exhibit number on the corner.
 - Q. Yeah. Is this a printout from a blog?
 - A. No, this is a printout from a forum.
- Q. I thought you said Fight Back was a blog?
- A. She has a blog page, but this is the forums.
- Q. Got it. So, it's a printout from a forum on a blog?
 - A. Right.
- Q. The first posting, is that by someone who goes by the name "creditmonitoringsucks"?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay, is that you?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so the verbiage on -- wow -- so, all of the verbiage on this forum is all written by you; is that right? On this exhibit at least.
 - A. On this posting, yes. This is an individual single posting. Notice it says "Post No. 1."
 - Q. So what does that mean?



1	A. That's the first posting in the thread
2	Somebody else could come in behind me and make
3	additional postings. And as I testified in the
4	Experian case, there was one thread that they
5	produced where other people were responding and
6	they I had to tell them that that was not me
7	because that was additional posters.
8	Q. Lawyers don't know this stuff.
9	A. Right, I understand that and I'm a
10	technical person, so I'm just explaining it. S

- Q. You do better with the appellate process than I do with postings, so I guess you got me there. And so this is the first posting that people can respond to. However, you also responded to other people's postings, right?
- A. Some, but not much. Mostly I posted stuff for people to --
 - Q. Uh-huh.

this is Post No. 1.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- have as reference material.
- Q. Now, on the first page, you identify two types of identity theft, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And those are the only two types of identity theft that you discuss, right?



1	A. At that time, yes.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. Uh-huh.
4	Q. And then you define "true name fraud."
5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Q. And then you refer to something called
7	"SSN only fraud"?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And it said, you say, "They say it is
10	the fastest growing." Who's "they"?
11	A. Well, I mean, like for example, the FTC
12	had sent out a when this was posted, there
13	was some kind of like news article or something
14	where they were talking about SSN fraud as being
15	the fastest form of identity theft. So, it's
16	topical at that time period.
17	Q. So, your recollection is that the FTC
18	identified SSN only fraud as the fastest growing
19	type of
20	A. It was a news article. I don't
21	recall
22	Q. Okay.
23	A if it was the FTC or what entity is
24	the one that said it, but I think it was
25	somebody in the government because they're the

1	Q.	Okay.	So, ir	August	of 20	03, you
2	didn't	understar	nd that	there	were d	ifferent
3	types	of identit	ty thef	t; is t	hat ri	aht?

A. Identity, I mean, to me identity theft was identity theft. I mean, we knew that our identity theft involved the use of my husband's Social Security number. But, for example, I didn't know about synthetic identity fraud, I didn't know about mortgage fraud with the FHA.

I mean, there's so many different forms of identity theft now that are out there that at that point in time I wasn't necessarily aware of all of them.

- Q. But my question was, were you aware that there was more than -- there were types of identity theft?
- A. Yeah, there were types of identity theft and I knew that in August of 2003.
- Q. Okay. And did you think that the credit monitoring product that you purchased from TrueLink would protect you and your husband against all those types of identity theft?
- A. Well, it was advertising complete identity theft protection.
 - Q. Ma'am, can I just please have you



	551
1	answer my question? Rather than tell me
2	something else. I was asking what you thought.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. So, just so the record's clear,
5	you thought in August of 2003 you were aware
6	that there were different types of identity
7	theft and you thought that the product that you
8	purchased on behalf of your husband would
9	protect against all of it?
10	A. All of the ones that I knew about at
11	that point.
12	Q. Okay. Page 2 of Exhibit 37,
13	Mrs. Millett.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. Like the third paragraph down, there's

- Q. Like the third paragraph down, there's a paragraph that begins, "I know they exist, I have personally seen them," do you see that?
 - A. Yep.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Says, "I have copies of the TransUnion sub file accounts from TransUnion."
 - A. Yep.
 - Q. What's that in reference to?
- A. That's a reference to the TU letter.

 Has all the sub file accounts on it. The

 accounts that are on Abundio Perez's file.



EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

3

4

1

2

STEVEN G. MILLETT,

5

MELODY J. MILLETT,

6

On Behalf of Themselves and

7

All Others Similarly Situated,

8

Plaintiffs,

9

vs.

C.A. No. 05-599-SLR

10

TRUELINK, INC.,

Class Action

11

a Trans Union Company, Jury Trial Demanded

Defendant.

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF STEVEN G. MILLETT, a Plaintiff, taken on behalf of the Defendant before Nissa M. Sharp, CSR, CCR #528, pursuant to Notice on the 30th of March, 2007, at the offices of THE CLOON LAW FIRM, 11350 Tomahawk Creek Parkway, Suite 100, Leawood, Kansas.



APPEARANCES

Appearing for the Plaintiffs was
MR. BRYSON R. CLOON of THE CLOON LAW FIRM, 11150
Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas
66211.

Also appearing for the Plaintiffs was MR. BARRY R. GRISSOM, 7270 West 98th Terrace, Building 7, Suite 220, Overland Park, Kansas 66212.

Appearing for the Defendant was

MR. MICHAEL O'NEIL of DLA PIPER US, LLP, 203

North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900, Chicago,

Illinois 60601-1293.

Also present was Heather Schuman of DLA Piper.

INDEX

WITNESS: PAGE:

STEVEN G. MILLETT

19 Examination by Mr. O'Neil 4



1	A. I think I should be reimbursed my
2	money, and everybody who bought the product get
3	their money back, Kansas Protection Act and
4	injunctive relief and my lawyers' fees paid.
5	Q. How much in lawyers' fees have you
6	paid?
7	MR. CLOON: I'm going to object
8	to the form of the question. Lacks foundation.
9	Calls for speculation. He has not idea what
10	hours we spent in this case.
ll	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) You can answer.
12	A. I don't know.
13	Q. Have you paid any money to your
14	lawyers?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. How much?
L7	A. I've paid including my first lawyer?
L8	Adler?
L9	Q. Are you seeking his fees in this case?
20	A. Well, I've spent \$12,000 on lawyer
21	fees.
22	Q. Are all those \$12,000 in connection
23	with the lawsuit that you brought against Trans
24	Union?
25	A. I'm not understanding the question.

	Q.	Well, I'm asking you I've asked you
w]	hat do	you want the Court to do, and you said
Ó1	ne of t	hem is to pay your lawyers' fees, right?
	A.	Right.
	Q.	I'm asking what lawyers' fees do you
W	ant the	em to pay? You said \$12,000, does that
iı	nclude	money spent for suing other people?
	A.	I don't know.
	Q.	Okay.
	A.	Whatever they're asking, I guess.
	Q.	I'm sorry?
	A.	Whatever they're asking.
	Q.	Who's asking?
	Α.	What I don't know what my lawyer
f	ees are	· •
	Q.	Okay. You also mentioned something
Çā	alled i	njunctive relief? What's that?
	A.	Well, you're marketing is deceptive,
1:	ike dis	claimers, and they're saying exactly
w}	nat thi	s product does and does not do.
	Q.	So what do you want the Court to do?
	A.	Change you guy's marketing.
	Q.	Okay. Have you ever seen the marketing
01	ır guy'	s marketing?

I think when she first bought it, yeah.

I saw it online.

Q. So, when your wife first bought the Trans Union credit monitoring product --

MR. CLOON: I'm going to object to the form of the question. That misstates the evidence. You've maintained that it's not Trans Union's product, it's Truelink's product.

MR. O'NEIL: I haven't maintained anything. I'm just asking the question.

MR. CLOON: Well, that's what the pleadings state.

MR. O'NEIL: You know what, I would rather -- if you're going to try to remind your client of what the facts are, let's take a break and you can do it there. Let's not do it here on the record. I'm just --

MR. CLOON: Mike, I objected to the form of the question because it misstated the evidence.

MR. O'NEIL: Well, actually, I didn't even get my question out before you started objecting. I'll withdraw it.

MR. CLOON: Because you used the term "Trans Union". You said "Trans Union's product", and you've maintained in the pleadings



that it's not Trans Union's product, it's
Truelink's product. Am I mistaken about that?
MR. O'NEIL: I'm asking your
client about his knowledge. He told me it was a
Trans Union product.

MR. CLOON: But you've misled him by saying it is a Trans Union product. He's stated on the record that he's got them all confused. He thinks Trans Union is a part of Truelink.

MR. O'NEIL: Okay. I'll withdraw the question, you know what, because it's a waste of time.

- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) At some point in time, your wife went online and bought a credit monitoring product from either Trans Union or Truelink, right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. At that time, did you actually see the website pages she was looking at?
- A. Yeah, I think it said protect me from identity theft.
 - Q. Uh-huh. What else did it say?
 - A. I can't recall.
 - Q. Okay.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- A. I mean, that's the gist of it.
- Q. And so when you and your wife read that, did you think, great, this product will protect us from identity theft?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Of course, you were already a victim office identify theft, that's your position, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Has anybody else stolen your identity since Mr. Perez did?
 - A. As far as I know, no.
- Q. And, to your knowledge, has Mr. Perez opened up any other accounts since you first bought the product from Trans Union or Truelink?
 - A. I can't answer that, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. So, as you sit here now, you don't have any evidence that there was any additional misuse of your Social Security number after your wife first bought the product?

MR. CLOON: I'm going to object to the form of the question. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation.

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) I'll ask the court



Filed 11/05/2007 Page 58 of 77 38 1 reporter to repeat the question for you, 2 Mr. Millett. 3 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the reporter.) 4 5 MR. CLOON: Same objection. 6 A. Correct. 7 0. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. You also mentioned something about the KCPA. 8 9 recall saying that this morning? 10 Α. Who? 11 You also mentioned the Kansas Consumer Ο. Protection Act? 12 13 Α. Right. Right. 14 Ο. What's that? 15 Α. It's a law. 16 Q. Do you know anything more about it? 17 Α. Well -- it's the Consumer Protection Act, that's about all I know. 18 19 Q. Okay. You also said that you wanted to 20 have your money returned? 21 Right. Α. 22 Q. What money do you want to have returned 23 to you?

- Α. What we paid for the product.
- The full amount that you paid for the



24

1	product	you	want	returned	to	you?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Well, it doesn't work, so we want our money back.
- Q. Did you ever ask Trans Union or Truelink for a refund?
 - A. Not me personally, no.
 - Q. Has somebody else?
 - A. Well, my wife has.
 - Q. Really? When did she do that?
- A. Well, I think she's did that. I can't --
- Q. Okay, well, your lawyer a couple times this morning said calls for speculation, I don't want you to speculate. I'm asking, do you have any knowledge that anybody --
 - A. My wife handled that.
 - Q. So you don't have any knowledge then?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So, as far as you know, you've never asked Trans Union or Truelink for a refund, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And at some point in time, you and your wife decided that this product doesn't -- it doesn't work, right?



wife in your home?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Right.
- Q. And are you aware that your wife made statements about the lawsuits that you filed?
- A. I'm not aware exactly what she talked about.
- Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Are you aware that your wife made statements about the lawsuits that you have filed?
 - A. Okay, yes.
- Q. Are you aware that she made statements about the products which are the subject of the lawsuits?
- A. I'm aware she talked about the products.
- Q. Did you ever read that article that featured your picture of you and your wife in your home?
 - A. No, I never read it.
- Q. Okay. So, you're not aware that
 Mrs. Millett said, quote, "I still have credit
 monitoring because of the simple fact that it is
 the best tool available at this time"? You're
 not aware she said that?
 - A. No.



1	A. I think maybe I saw one. Everything is	
2	honky-dory.	
3	Q. Do you know how often your wife	
4	received those e-mails?	
5	A. No, I can't answer that, I don't know.	
6	Q. Did you ever ask her, ask your wife, if	
7	she ever got more than one e-mail from Truelink?	
8	A. No. I don't recall asking her that.	
9	Q. Do you know when you purchased the	
10	credit monitoring service from Truelink?	
1.1	A. I can't give you exact date.	
12	Q. Can you give me a rough date?	
13	A. I think it was like after the police	
14	report or some time around there.	
15	Q. Okay.	
16	A. In general.	
17	Q. Do you know what year that was?	
18	A. I think it was 2003, I think.	
19	Q. And you told us today that you think	
20	the product that Truelink sold to you doesn't	
21	work, right?	
22 ·	A. Yes, sir.	
23	Q. And could you tell me in what ways the	

product doesn't work?

24

25

Doesn't tell you if somebody's using

vc	ur	Social	Security	number.
у ~	<i>,</i> u =		~~~~~~~	11 01 11 12 C T

- Q. Any other problems that you have with the product?
- A. Well, it says it's supposed to protect me from identity theft, I'm not even sure it does that.
- Q. So you don't know? It may, but you don't know; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, you haven't been the victim of identity theft, other than this use by Mr. Perez of your Social Security number, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Any other problems that you have with the Truelink credit monitoring service?
- A. I think you should change your advertising.
- Q. So, you're not happy with the advertising, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. But you haven't seen the advertising since that very first day in 2003 since you looked at it, right?
 - A. Correct.



1	this misu
2	Α.
3	Q.
4	investiga
5	A.
6	wife.
7	Q.
8	Α.
9	rememberi
10	Q.
11	that this
12	Security
13	A.
14	Q.
15	Α.
16	world.
17	Q.
18	decided y
19	now that
20	Social Se
21	. A.
22	Q.
23	Α.
24	Q.

2

2

2

2

2

this	misuse	of	your	Social	Security	number?

- I can't remember.
- Well, did you do anything yourself to te?
- I think I turned it all over to my
 - Why did you do that?
- Because she's better at numbers, ng.
- Were you concerned when you discovered gentleman was using your Social number?
 - Yes, sir.
 - And what were you concerned about?
- He was just out there buying up the
- Do you recall that you and your wife ou had to look at your credit reports you've learned somebody was using your curity number?
 - Right.
 - Okay. And did you do that?
 - I think my wife did.
- Okay. And she got credit reports from each of the three major credit bureaus, right?



		83
1	A.	Right.
2	Q.	Okay. Did you ever look at those
3	credit re	eports?
4	Α.	I, yeah, I I don't see anything,
5	remember	anything specific, but I think I looked
б	at them.	
7	Q.	And what was your purpose in looking at
8	those cre	edit reports?
9	Α.	I was just seeing if there was Abundio
10	Perez any	where.
11	Q.	Was there?
12	А.	No, not that I recall, no.
13	Q.	So you got credit reports from Trans
14	Union, Ex	xperian and Equifax, right?
15	A.	Right.
16	0.	Okay. And none of those credit reports

- Okay. And none of those credit reports Q. had any mention of Mr. Perez, right?
 - As far as I know, right. Α.
- And none of those credit reports had on Q. them credit accounts that were Mr. Perez's, right?
 - As far as I know, yes.
- Q. And none of those credit reports indicated that your credit report had been accessed by somebody who was considering giving



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

earlier, Mr. Millett, that when your wife first				
purchased the credit monitoring product from				
Truelink, that you were kind of you looked at				
some of the marketing that was on the website at				
that time? Or maybe I'm wrong. You know				
strike.				

A. I think --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Go ahead.
- A. Yeah, I think I said that.
- Q. Okay. So, she, Mrs. Millett, purchased the product over the internet, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And did she do it from her computer at home?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And were you sitting there with her in front of the computer at the time?
 - A. I was sitting behind her.
- Q. Okay. Why was it that you were sitting with her while she was buying the product?
 - A. Because I was on my computer.
- Q. Oh, I see. So you were in the same room, but you were doing stuff on your own computer?
 - A. Right.



	10-
1	Q. I see. So, you weren't really watching
2	her go through each step of purchasing the
3	product, were you?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. Were you even looking at what
6	she was doing at that time?
7	A. Well, I just kind of glanced over there
8	and read some stuff, and then I walked back to
9	my computer.
10	O. What were you reading?

What were you reading? Q.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. The -- what your opening statements were.
 - Ο. You mean the statements on the website?
- Well, telling what about what the Α. product was, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And why were you interested in looking at that?
- Just to see what -- if you had any disclaimers in there what you did and didn't do.
- So, when you -- when your wife was purchasing the product for you, you were particularly interested in --
- Α. Oh, I was just reading the activity advertisement just seeing what you had in there.
 - But you and your wife had



already purchased credit monitoring products from other companies, right?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And so you were familiar with what the product was, right?
 - A. In general.
- Q. Okay. And when your wife purchased the products from the other companies prior to purchasing it from Truelink, were you sitting looking at the information on the website during those earlier purchases?
 - A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. What were you doing on the computer while your wife was purchasing the product?
- A. I think I was playing some video game or something.
- Q. Is there a reason why your wife was purchasing the product instead of you?
 - A. Why she was doing it?
 - Q. Right.
- A. I just -- I think she was looking at it and she said it was -- it could help us.
- Q. And do you recall that she provided her e-mail address instead of yours?



1	A. You'd have to ask her that.
2	Q. Well, actually, I'm asking about your
3	knowledge. To your knowledge, has she ever
4	A. No, I never physically saw her push the
5	buttons on the phone and called Truelink.
6	Q. Okay. Did she ever tell you that she
7	called Truelink?
8	A. I don't remember.
9	Q. Even if you hadn't seen her push the
10	buttons? Did she ever tell you that she called
11	Truelink?
12	A. She called a lot of people, I don't
13	remember who all she called.
14	Q. Did she ever tell you that she called
15	Truelink?
16	A. I don't think she ever said that, no.
17	Q. Did she ever tell you that she was
18	unhappy with the products that were purchased
19	from Truelink?
20	A. I don't ever recall her saying that
21	specifically, no.
22	Q. Did you understand that in order to
23	purchase the product from Truelink, you had to
24	agree to the terms of the contract between you

and Truelink?

EXHIBIT B PAGE 108 REDACTED

EXHIBIT B PAGE 112 REDACTED

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1.	7
l	8
L	9
2	0

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And do you understand that that was the basis, one of the bases for the Court in California dismissing some of the claims you brought against Experian?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. So, go back to my original question. Are you saying that if you had been told by Truelink that we're only going to alert you to changes in your credit report, that you would not have bought the product?
- A. I'm saying that if they would have said what this product does and doesn't do, then, I mean, we might have bought it and we might not have bought it. If it was all spelled up instead of with the broad statement, well, this is -- we protect you from identify theft.
- Q. With all due respect, sir, you don't know what Truelink told you in August of 2003 about their product, isn't that correct, because you didn't look at it?

MR. CLOON: I'm going to object. That's argumentative.

- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) You can answer.
- A. Can you ask that question again?
- Q. With the exception of -- somehow this



Q. Meaning three credit bureaus, right?
When you say "three in one", are you referring
to three credit bureaus?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. I mean, you understand there's a
company called Trans Union?
A. Right.
Q. And that's a credit bureau? Is that
your understanding?
A. Right
Q. Do you have an understanding of the
business of Truelink?
A. It's the credit monitoring.
Q. What was your understanding back in
August of 2003 of what a credit monitoring
product is?
A. It would be checking to see if there
was activity on my credit report.
Q. Trans Union identified for you the
credit accounts for which Mr. Perez was using
the Social Security number; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there some additional information
you wanted from Trans Union regarding those



accounts?

25

1	MR. O'NEIL: Sure.						
2	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going						
3	off the record at 1:46 PM.						
4	(Recess.)						
5	VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment please.						
б	It is now 1:54 PM and we are back on the record.						
7	You may continue.						
8	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Mr. Millett, do you						
9	recall testifying this morning that you believed						
10	you did see one of the e-mails that Truelink						
11	sent to your wife?						
12	A. I think so.						
13	Q. And I think you said that it indicated						
14	that everything was honky-dory. Do you remember						
15	that?						
16	A. Yes, sir.						
17	Q. Okay.						
18	(Millett Exhibit 7 was marked for						
19	identification by the reporter.)						
20	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Let me show you what's						
21	been marked Exhibit No. 7, which I'll represent						
22	to you are some pages that were produced by your						
23	lawyers in this case. And, for the record, it						
24	seems to be an e-mail from True Credit sent on						

October 5, 2003. Do you recall, is -- have you

EXHIBIT B PAGES 145-148 REDACTED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.	Did y	ou to	ell	her,	you	know,	Melody,	Ι'm
thinking	that	mayb	e th	nis cr	edit	monit	oring	
service o	only t	ells	me	about	my	credit	report	and
not Mr. 1	Perez	s cre	edit	repo	rt?			

MR. CLOON: Object to form. Leading and suggestive.

- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) You can answer.
- A. Can you repeat that, sir?
- Q. Sure, I'll rephrase it. Did you suggest to your wife that if what you say is true, you were both mistaken in believing that the credit monitoring service would alert you to changes outside of your own credit report?
- A. I think we were thinking that we'd see something on my credit report that he's out there charging stuff, that's what my assumption was.
 - Q. And you never saw those?
 - A. Right. Correct.
 - Q. So, your assumption was wrong, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And you knew that pretty early on, didn't you?
- A. We were just trying to compare information between the three credit



monitorings.

- Q. And they were all the same, no -- none of those credit monitoring products by any of those companies ever told you that Mr. Perez was using your Social Security number; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you continue to believe, however, that some day Truelink was going to provide that information to you?
- A. Well, they shouldn't -- they shouldn't advertise that they'd protect me from identity theft, they just protect with name theft and credit card.

MR. O'NEIL: Could you restate the question for Mr. Millett? I'll ask you to answer the question.

(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the reporter.)

- A. Through their credit monitoring?
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Yes.
- A. No.
- Q. You realized you weren't going to get that information through any credit monitoring service, right?



1	Α.	Correct

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you realized that if you or your wife in fact had actually believed that that was what these services did, that you were wrong, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you saw that one e-mail that your wife got from True Credit, did you click on the link to get the more information that was behind the e-mail?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Let me go back to your interrogatory answers, which is Exhibit No. 6, Mr. Millett.

 If you could take a look at that. And, again, I apologize these pages don't seem to be numbered, but if you can go to the sixth page --
 - A. Just put me in the right spot.
- Q. Yeah, the sixth page, Mr. Millett.

 Actually, I want to ask you to go back one page to Interrogatory No. 7, on the bottom of the prior page.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Here you are asked if you claim to have suffered any economic loss as a result of the conduct of Truelink alleged in the fourth

